

Acceptance of Reality

(Translation by Sylke Plaumann, Canada)

We humans tend to block out undesirable things for as long as possible, to look for an explanation to assure us that it's not really that bad after all, and we can somehow remain in a state of denial. But sooner or later the point comes when reality is knocking hard at the door and we have no choice but to accept what is happening as it has long been observed around us. What needs to be accepted today is first: Life, as it was until February 2020, will never exist again. And secondly: Our society is fundamentally divided, there is no way in the near future to resolve this division.

The first point is now accepted by many, but the second point is likely to generate resistance. This split is seen by many as an urgent problem to be resolved, along the lines of "we must overcome the split". But realistically, this is a fatal misconception.

The split cannot and will not be overcome. It is completely out of the question. Instead of senseless attempts at persuasion, which usually ends in complete incomprehension, the breaking of friendships and hostility, the earlier an acceptance in the inevitable, the faster energies and forces can be directed in creative and productive directions.

There is a fact-resistance of those who still believe in politics and the big media, which has now been observed for long enough. There is now countless evidence on the table that shows that the statements made by politicians, government experts and the media in this information catastrophe, known as the 'pandemic', were false and often maliciously false. Nevertheless, the majority of the population sticks to this construct. This resulted in two completely separate versions of reality, of worldviews. The most striking characteristic of which is, that they hardly have any common overlap.

How could it come to this? By asking the question WHY? It's surprisingly easy to explain. There are primarily two reasons that ensure that the people at this fork in history do not all turn in the same direction. The first reason is fear. The second too. But not the fear of the virus. They are two separate fears that most are not even aware of.

Fear, Security and Reputation

First of all, the desire for security turns into the fear of being vulnerable and defenseless in a threatening situation. Under these circumstances, anyone who credibly promises protection is accepted as a savior: virologists, ministers "authorities", "experts". It is not their qualification that is the measure of trust, but the importance given to them by the media. Anyone who trusts their usual newspaper or the TV to this day will not stop doing so in such a crisis, because who else should they trust? Independent thinkers, conspiracy theorists, you, themselves, common sense? In such a threatening situation? Never! That is why the fear of being unprotected leads reliably to an upgrading of the government and the established media.

The second fear concerns those who have more to lose than their lives: their reputation. It is the professionally successful, those who have achieved office and dignity, including the 'educated citizens' quite intelligent and often pleasant people. They have a reputation to lose, at work or within their planned career move.

The fear of being excluded from one's own group is one of the deepest fears in humans. It dates back to ancient days, millions of years before our time, when exclusion from the "herd" was still fatal. Therefore, the fear of it is ingrained in the deepest and oldest structures of our brain. This fear will

prevent almost everyone from expressing themselves deviating from the given corridor of opinion. The more you have to lose, the greater the risk.

Today there are clearly defined corridors of opinion of good and bad, right and wrong, on socially relevant topics, which can simply be adopted. By adopting the outlined assignment, each of these complex topics can be discussed risk-free to demonstrate belonging to the “right” group. This applies to the virus as well as to the issues of climate change, the refugee issue or the “new rights”. These ‘truths’ also have the decisive advantage that they offer the greatest possible security and moral invulnerability in every discussion.

Curiosity and finding meaning

Back to the fork in the road we are all at, whether we want to or not. The exciting question is, why do millions of people still run the risk of being excluded from their friends, work, colleagues - even partners and family? Where did this courage come from, this desire for knowledge against all pressure and all risks? Why do they expose themselves to hostility, do not take the path of least resistance and accept a high personal price for their convictions? These are people for whom the weighing of the fundamental needs of security versus curiosity and finding meaning is more strongly shifted in the latter direction.

Today's split also leads to a selection: into the group of those who prefer security and those who strive for self-responsibility and knowledge. For this group of propaganda-resistant people there is no turning back. The probability that these people could trust offers from TV and other mass media again, tends towards zero. These media are getting just consumed in order to find out what the “official” narratives are.

Departure into a new society

And that's just OK. First of all, the propaganda is losing its urgently needed reach. And secondly, it is a good thing because all the energy used for the anger and bewilderment, the desperate inability to understand are required for the new to arise.

The new thing that has not yet taken shape, which makes the whole thing so difficult. One would like to be able to see the vision on the horizon, a prominent point in the future that is worth fighting for. But it's not that easy this time. There is no leader, no ideology, no written religion to show the way. But there is something else: People are being brought together by the forces of social division. And for the first time in human history there are technical possibilities to find one another at all levels, to exchange ideas, to network and to share successes. Above all: to enable real getting to know new comrades-in-arms.

As always, there will be around 10 to 20 percent active and 80 to 90 percent benevolent supporters. Which is completely sufficient to create something new: New trust, new forms of encounter, new media, new schools, new business, new health culture, new culture in general. And none of this will be shaped by the defensive fight against bad viruses, deadly CO2 or against incompetent and corrupt politicians and the media. No, it will be shaped by creativity, connection and finally success. Let's call these people '**Possibilistas**'. Those who see the possibilities!

And who knows, if at some point the pseudo-reality collapses, then there can be a common reality again. Maybe even within a very short time. Just like two times in the history of Germany when, at the hour of surrender, suddenly there seemed to be no more supporters of a certain ideology. Then the time of forgiveness can begin.